

Tutorial Sheet 6

Announced on: Aug 30 (Fri)

Problems marked with (★) will not be asked in the tutorial quiz.

1. You are given n jobs, each with *length* ℓ_j and *deadline* d_j . Define the *lateness* λ_j of a job j in a schedule σ as the difference $C_j(\sigma) - d_j$ between the job's completion time and deadline, or as 0 if $C_j(\sigma) \leq d_j$.

Which of the following greedy algorithms produce a schedule that minimizes the *maximum* lateness? Feel free to assume that there are no ties. In each case, either provide a counterexample to show incorrectness or a brief argument (2-3 sentences) to show correctness.

- a) Schedule the jobs in increasing order of length ℓ_j .
 - b) Schedule the jobs in increasing order of slack $d_j - \ell_j$.
 - c) Schedule the jobs in increasing order of deadline d_j .
2. How will your answer to Problem 1 change if, instead of maximum lateness, the goal is to minimize the *total* lateness $\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j$.
 3. You are given as input n jobs, each with a start time s_j and a finish time t_j . Two jobs *conflict* if they overlap in time—if one of them starts between the start and finish times of the other. The goal is to select a maximum-size subset of jobs that have no conflicts. (For example, given three jobs consuming the intervals $[0, 3]$, $[2, 5]$, and $[4, 7]$, the optimal solution consists of the first and third jobs.) The plan is to design an iterative greedy algorithm that, in each iteration, irrevocably adds a new job j to the solution-so-far and removes from future consideration all jobs that conflict with j .

Which of the following greedy algorithms is guaranteed to compute an optimal solution? Feel free to assume that there are no ties. In each case, either provide a counterexample to show incorrectness or a brief argument (2-3 sentences) to show correctness.

- a) At each iteration, choose the remaining job with the earliest start time.
- b) At each iteration, choose the remaining job with the earliest finish time.
- c) At each iteration, choose the remaining job that requires the least time, i.e., with the smallest value of $t_j - s_j$.
- d) At each iteration, choose the remaining job with the fewest number of conflicts with other remaining jobs.

Tutorial Sheet 6:

4. Given a list of n natural numbers d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n , show how to decide in polynomial time whether there exists a simple undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ whose node degrees are precisely the numbers d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n . That is, if $V = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$, then the degree of v_1 should be d_1 , the degree of v_2 should be d_2 , and so on.
5. Consider the following change-making problem: The input to this problem is an integer L . The output should be the minimum cardinality collection of coins required to make L shillings of change, that is, you want to use as few coins as possible. The coins are worth 1, 5, 10, 20, 25, and 50 shillings. Assume that you have an unlimited number of coins of each type. Formally prove or disprove that the greedy algorithm that takes as many coins as possible from the highest denominations correctly solves the problem. So, for example, to make a change for 234 Shillings, the greedy algorithm would require ten coins: four 50 shilling coins, one 25 shilling coin, one 5 shilling coin, and four 1 shilling coins.
6. Consider another change-making problem: The input to this problem is again an integer L , and the output should again be the minimum cardinality collection of coins required to make L nibbles of change (that is, you want to use as few coins as possible). Now the coins are worth $1, 2, 2^2, 2^3, \dots, 2^{1000}$ nibbles. Assume that you have an unlimited number of coins of each type. Prove or disprove that the greedy algorithm that takes as many coins of the highest value as possible solves the change-making problem.

Hint: The greedy algorithm is correct for one of the above two subproblems and is incorrect for the other. For the problem where greedy is correct, use the following proof strategy: Assume, to reach a contradiction, that there is an input I on which greedy is not correct. Let $\text{OPT}(I)$ be a solution for input I that is better than the greedy output $G(I)$. Show that the existence of such an optimal solution $\text{OPT}(I)$ that is different than greedy is a contradiction. So what you can conclude from this is that for every input, the output of the greedy algorithm is the unique optimal/correct solution.

7. Suppose there are n agents and m items. The value of agent i for item j is given by a nonnegative integer $v_{i,j}$. An agent's value for a set of items is the sum of its values for individual items in that set. The goal is to partition the m items among the n agents in a *fair* manner.

Denote an allocation by $A := (A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$, where A_i is the subset of items assigned to agent i . We require that for any $i \neq k$, $A_i \cap A_k = \emptyset$ (i.e., items are not shared between bundles) and $\cup_i A_i$ is the entire set of items (i.e., no item is left unallocated). An allocation is deemed fair if, for any pair of agents i and k , the value derived by agent i from its bundle A_i is "within an item" of the value it derives from agent k 's bundle A_k ; specifically, for every pair of agents i and k and for every item $j \in A_k$, we have that $v_i(A_i) \geq v_i(A_k \setminus \{j\})$, where $v_i(S)$ denotes the value of agent i for a subset S of items.

Design a polynomial-time algorithm for computing a fair allocation when the agents have

Tutorial Sheet 6:

identical valuations, i.e., item j is valued at $v_j \geq 0$ by every agent (though, for distinct items j and j' , the values v_j and $v_{j'}$ may differ).¹

8. (★) Imagine you have a set of n course assignments given to you today. For each assignment i , you know its deadline d_i and the time ℓ_i it takes to finish it. With so many assignments, it may not be possible to finish all of them on time. If you finish an assignment after its deadline, you get zero marks. Therefore, you must either complete the assignment by the deadline or not at all. How can you determine the maximum number of assignments you can complete within their deadlines?

9. (★) Construct a five-symbol alphabet and an associated frequency distribution where the “top-down” Shannon-Fano encoding is suboptimal. You may construct the frequencies such that the encoding always finds an exact split.

¹If you can show the existence of a fair allocation without the identical valuations assumption, please meet Rohit.